IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2096 OF 2006

Anil Sharma — Petitioner

vs.

Union of India & ors. — Respondents

Mr.G.K.Masand, senior counsel with Mr.Sayeed &
Ms.S.R.Sawant for the petitioners.

Mr.Suresh Kumar for the respondents 1 to 3.

Mr.N.Engineer with Mr.I.S.Singh for the respondent
no.4.

CORAM : F.I.REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ.

DATED : 25th August, 2006

P.C.

We find that the petitioner has already made a representation dated 26th May, 2006 before the order of transfer could be passed to consider his retention in Mumbai. In reply, the respondents pointed out that representation was based on hypothetical contention and hence did not merit consideration. That hypothetical consideration in fact has now become a reality.

2. Considering the fact that the petitioner's representation was not considered, the petitioner to make fresh representation within one week from today. On such representation being made, the respondents to dispose of the same within three weeks thereafter. In

the meantime and until disposal of representation, for a period of four weeks from today, the status-quo granted earlier to continue.

3. With the above observations, petition stands disposed of.

(F.I. REBELLO, J.)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.)